News stories on TV and newspapers are very often accompanied by pictures. Some people say that these pictures are more effective than words. What is your opinion?

Nowadays, lots of <u>mediums-media</u> make an<u>/every</u> effort to create more interesting stories by employing pictures in their news. This could result in attracting more readers and <u>this will</u> lead<u>ing the</u> media to grow and be more successful. From my point of view, taking advantage of shots in news would be helpful, but expressions are more effective in transmitting information.

The first advantage of words in comparison of pictures is that expressions could carry more details. Every picture is a snapshot of a moment and cannot say much about what happened before or after that moment. In contrast, expressions can explain every little details about the story. By exploiting words we can describe the news from the beginning to the end without any ambiguity.

The next benefit that words can provide us is the power of analysis. Although pictures can bring us useful information about a topic, they cannot analys<u>e</u>is it. But probing through the topic is only accessible by employing statements. So, not only do words provide more details, but also they present more information for readers by analyzing the news.

On the other hand, pictures are more capable of carrying emotions and sensations. Emotions can be described better and easier through pictures rather than words. For example, explaining the grieve grief of someone by words requires at least a paragraph and this may bore you, meanwhile while/whereas only one shot can show you the depth of sadness.

In conclusion, using both pictures and words in news is more preferable, because each of them <u>have has</u> benefits of their own. But due to the importance of information that words bring us, such as analyzing the news, words cannot be <u>disre</u>placed by pictures.